International Conference on Sustainable Tourism and Entrepreneurship

Vol. 01 No.01 August 2026, ISSN: 3163-2912

Strategic Communication in Sustainable Tourism Governance: A Policy Analysis of Tangerang Regency, Indonesia

Achmad Muchlis[1], Prasetya Yoga Santoso[2]

[1] *Doctoral Program in Communication Science, Sahid University Indonesia*
[2] *Doctoral Program in Communication Science, Sahid University Indonesia*

**Corresponding author. Email: muchlis172@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

Rapid urban transformation in peri-metropolitan regions presents complex governance challenges for sustainable tourism development. This study examines how strategic communication is institutionalized within the tourism governance framework of Tangerang Regency, Indonesia, through an analysis of Regional Regulation No. 2 of 2024 on Tourism Administration. Adopting a qualitative descriptive policy analysis approach, this research systematically analyzes regulatory provisions related to institutional authority, tourism information systems, promotional structures, and village-based tourism development. The study focuses on how communication-related mechanisms are formally embedded within the regulatory architecture rather than evaluating implementation outcomes. The findings reveal that strategic communication is structurally embedded through three primary mechanisms: (1) a clearly defined governance hierarchy that enables institutional coordination, (2) a mandatory digital tourism information system that supports transparency and data-driven governance, and (3) institutionalized promotional and participatory structures, including a Regional Tourism Promotion Board and village-based tourism provisions. These elements indicate that communication functions not merely as a promotional tool but as a governance-supporting infrastructure. However, the sustainability discourse within the regulation is predominantly framed in terms of economic development and regional competitiveness, while environmental and participatory dimensions remain complementary. This suggests that although communication is formally institutionalized, its transformative role in advancing sustainability remains limited. This study contributes to the literature by positioning strategic communication as a policy-embedded coordination mechanism within sustainable tourism governance in an emerging metropolitan context. It also highlights the need for future research to examine the gap between regulatory design and practical implementation in rapidly transforming regions.

Keywords: *Strategic communication, sustainable tourism governance, policy analysis, tourism regulation, digital governance, stakeholder participation.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Sustainable tourism has become a central agenda in global development discourse, particularly following the integration of tourism into the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The World Tourism Organization emphasizes that sustainable tourism must balance economic growth, socio-cultural integrity, and environmental protection while ensuring long-term resilience [1]. However, translating sustainability principles into local governance frameworks remains a persistent challenge. Recent scholarship highlights that governance quality, institutional coordination, and adaptive policy capacity significantly influence sustainable tourism outcomes, especially in rapidly urbanizing regions [2], [3].

In Indonesia, peri-metropolitan regions adjacent to major urban centers face increasingly complex governance dynamics. Tangerang Regency, located within the Greater Jakarta metropolitan area, represents a relevant case. The regency has experienced substantial industrial expansion, spatial restructuring, and demographic growth over the past decade. These transformations generate pressures on land use, infrastructure provision, and environmental management. At the same time, tourism is promoted as a strategic sector for regional development, requiring governance arrangements capable of aligning economic objectives with sustainability considerations.

Governance theory suggests that contemporary public administration has evolved from hierarchical "government" models toward more networked and

collaborative governance systems [4]. In tourism contexts, governance is inherently complex due to its intersection with spatial planning, environmental regulation, cultural preservation, and local economic development [5]. Furthermore, recent research underscores the importance of policy resilience and inter-institutional coordination in addressing uncertainty and structural transformation within tourism systems [6]. These perspectives indicate that sustainable tourism governance depends not only on regulatory provisions but also on mechanisms that facilitate coordination, transparency, and stakeholder engagement.

Within this governance landscape, strategic communication assumes a critical role. Traditionally associated with marketing and destination branding, strategic communication has often been framed as a tool for image construction and visitor attraction [7]. However, contemporary communication scholarship conceptualizes it more broadly as the purposeful use of communication to align institutional objectives, coordinate stakeholders, and build legitimacy [8]. In public policy environments, communication functions not merely as promotional messaging but as an administrative instrument that supports information transparency, policy dissemination, and cross-sector collaboration.

Despite the growing body of literature on sustainable tourism governance, limited research has examined how strategic communication is formally embedded within local regulatory frameworks in emerging metropolitan regions of the Global South. Existing studies tend to focus on destination marketing strategies, digital promotion, or stakeholder engagement practices, rather than analyzing how communication mechanisms are codified within regulatory architecture. This gap is particularly significant in rapidly transforming regions where newly enacted policy instruments shape the institutional direction of tourism governance.

To address this gap, this study analyzes how strategic communication is institutionalized within the tourism governance framework of Tangerang Regency through Regional Regulation No. 2 of 2024 on Tourism Administration. By examining provisions related to institutional authority, tourism information systems, promotional structures, and community-based tourism development, this research seeks to describe how communication functions within the policy architecture of sustainable tourism governance.

Rather than evaluating policy implementation outcomes, this study adopts a descriptive policy analysis approach to explore how communication is formally structured within the regulatory framework. In doing so, the paper contributes to sustainable tourism governance scholarship by positioning strategic communication as a policy-embedded coordination mechanism within a peri-metropolitan context. The findings provide insight into how emerging urban regions institutionalize communication within tourism governance systems amid ongoing socio-economic transformation.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Governance and Tourism Policy

The concept of governance has evolved from traditional hierarchical models of government toward more networked, collaborative, and multi-actor arrangements [4]. Governance emphasizes coordination, interdependence, and institutional interaction among public authorities, private sectors, and civil society actors. In tourism contexts, governance becomes particularly significant because tourism development intersects with spatial planning, environmental management, economic policy, and socio-cultural preservation [5].

Recent scholarship highlights that sustainable tourism governance depends heavily on governance quality, inter-institutional coordination, and policy coherence [3]. In rapidly transforming regions, tourism governance must also demonstrate adaptability and resilience in responding to socio-economic change and environmental pressures [6]. These perspectives underscore that regulatory frameworks are not merely administrative tools but foundational structures that shape sustainability outcomes.

Local regulatory instruments, such as regional tourism regulations, therefore serve as formal governance frameworks that define institutional authority, allocate responsibilities, and structure interaction among actors. As Bramwell and Lane [2] argue, governance arrangements significantly influence how sustainability principles are interpreted and operationalized within tourism systems. Analyzing regulatory texts thus provides insight into how tourism governance is institutionally constructed and how sustainability is formally embedded in policy architecture.

2.2 Strategic Communication in Public Policy

Strategic communication has traditionally been associated with branding, marketing, and public relations. In tourism studies, it is frequently linked to destination image-building and competitive positioning [7]. However, communication scholarship conceptualizes strategic communication more broadly as the purposeful use of communication by organizations to achieve strategic objectives and align stakeholders [8].

Within public policy contexts, communication extends beyond promotional messaging. It functions as an instrument for policy dissemination, institutional coordination, transparency, and legitimacy construction. Contemporary research suggests that communication strategies play a central role in shaping public trust and governance effectiveness, particularly in complex policy environments [9]. In tourism governance, communication contributes to the alignment of policy goals, stakeholder expectations, and sustainability narratives.

Moreover, the rise of digital governance has further transformed the role of communication in public administration. Digital information systems, online transparency mechanisms, and technology-based platforms increasingly serve as integral components of

governance infrastructure [10]. In tourism policy, the institutionalization of digital information systems reflects a shift toward more data-driven and transparent governance practices.

When communication mechanisms are formally codified within regulatory frameworks—such as through mandatory information systems or designated promotional institutions—they become embedded within governance structures. This institutionalization distinguishes strategic communication as a governance-supporting mechanism rather than solely a marketing function.

2.3 Stakeholder Perspective in Sustainable Tourism

Stakeholder theory, introduced by Freeman [11], posits that organizational effectiveness depends on managing relationships among actors who affect or are affected by organizational objectives. In tourism governance, stakeholders typically include local government authorities, tourism enterprises, community groups, civil society organizations, and visitors.

Sustainable tourism requires balancing diverse and sometimes competing interests. Governance structures must therefore facilitate communication processes that enable information exchange, negotiation, and shared understanding among stakeholders. Recent research emphasizes that stakeholder collaboration and value co-creation are central to achieving sustainability objectives in tourism destinations [12]. Effective communication mechanisms enhance stakeholder alignment and contribute to policy coherence.

Within regulatory contexts, stakeholder orientation can be identified through provisions related to community participation, inter-agency cooperation, and industry engagement. Policies that mandate information transparency, promote village-based tourism, or establish formal promotional boards illustrate how communication structures support stakeholder integration within governance systems.

2.4 Strategic Communication as Governance Infrastructure

Building on governance theory and strategic communication scholarship, this study conceptualizes strategic communication as part of governance infrastructure. Governance infrastructure refers to institutional arrangements and mechanisms that enable coordination, policy alignment, and system-wide coherence within public administration.

In the context of tourism policy, communication infrastructure may include:

- Tourism information systems
- Institutional reporting requirements
- Promotional bodies with defined mandates
- Community-based tourism provisions
- Digital transparency mechanisms

Recent scholarship suggests that integrating communication within governance architecture enhances resilience, transparency, and policy adaptability [6], [10]. When these elements are formally codified in regulatory frameworks, communication becomes structurally embedded rather than incidental.

By applying this conceptual lens to the tourism regulation of Tangerang Regency, this study examines how strategic communication is positioned within the local sustainable tourism governance framework. The objective is not to evaluate policy effectiveness but to describe how communication mechanisms are institutionally constructed and integrated into the regulatory architecture.

3. METHOD

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a qualitative descriptive approach using policy analysis as its primary research design. The aim is to examine how strategic communication is formally structured and institutionalized within the tourism governance framework of Tangerang Regency. Rather than assessing policy effectiveness or implementation outcomes, the study focuses on analyzing how communication-related mechanisms are embedded within the regulatory architecture.

Qualitative policy analysis is appropriate for this research because regulatory documents represent official expressions of governmental priorities, institutional arrangements, and governance structures. By systematically examining the content and structure of the regulation, it is possible to identify how sustainability and communication are articulated and integrated within the tourism governance system.

3.2 Data Source

The primary data source of this study is Regional Regulation of Tangerang Regency No. 2 of 2024 on Tourism Administration. This regulation serves as the legal foundation for tourism governance in the regency and outlines institutional authority, tourism business classifications, information systems, promotional structures, and provisions for community-based tourism development.

The regulation was selected because it represents the most recent formal policy framework governing tourism in the region. As a legally binding instrument, it provides an authoritative basis for understanding how tourism governance is structured and how communication functions are codified at the local level.

3.3 Data Collection Procedure

The document was obtained from official regional sources and reviewed in its entirety. All chapters and articles were carefully examined to identify provisions relevant to governance and communication. Particular attention was given to sections addressing:

- Institutional authority and governance structure
- Tourism information systems and data management

- Promotional and communication bodies
- Village tourism and community participation
- Sustainability-related provisions

Relevant articles were highlighted and organized into thematic categories to facilitate systematic analysis.

3.4 Data Analysis

The analysis was conducted using qualitative content analysis. This method involves systematically interpreting textual data to identify patterns, themes, and structural elements relevant to the research objective. The coding process focused on how strategic communication is positioned within the regulatory framework.

Four analytical categories were developed to guide the interpretation:

- Institutional Governance Structure
- Tourism Information and Communication Systems
- Promotional and Branding Mechanisms
- Community Participation and Village Tourism

Each category was examined descriptively to explain how communication functions are embedded within the tourism governance system. The emphasis of the analysis is on policy structure and institutional design rather than normative evaluation or performance assessment.

3.5 Research Scope and Limitations

This study is limited to document analysis of a single regional regulation and does not include interviews, surveys, or observational data. Consequently, the findings describe how strategic communication is formally institutionalized within the regulatory framework but do not evaluate implementation practices or stakeholder perceptions.

Despite this limitation, the study provides important insight into the policy architecture of tourism governance in Tangerang Regency, offering a foundation for future research that may explore implementation dynamics and practical outcomes.

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Institutional Governance Structure in Tourism Administration

Regional Regulation No. 2 of 2024 establishes a formal institutional framework for tourism administration in Tangerang Regency. The regulation clearly delineates the authority of the Regent (Bupati) and assigns responsibilities to relevant regional apparatus organizations in planning, supervising, regulating, and developing tourism activities. This indicates that tourism governance is embedded within a structured administrative hierarchy.

From a governance perspective, such institutional clarity reflects the foundational elements of coordinated public administration [4]. Clear allocation of authority contributes to policy coherence and reduces ambiguity in implementation. As highlighted by Nunkoo and Seetanah [3], governance quality and institutional coordination significantly influence sustainable tourism outcomes. In this context, the regulation demonstrates an effort to institutionalize tourism governance through formalized roles and responsibilities.

Although primarily administrative in orientation, the regulation implicitly establishes communication pathways within the governance system. Defined institutional roles create structural channels through which information, directives, and coordination mechanisms can operate. This aligns with broader governance literature emphasizing the importance of institutional alignment in achieving sustainability objectives [2].

4.2 Tourism Information System as Communication Infrastructure

One of the most explicit communication-related provisions appears in Articles 3 and 4, which mandate the development of a tourism information system supported by information technology. The regulation requires the provision of accessible data on tourism destinations, tourism businesses, village tourism initiatives, creative economy activities, and visitor statistics.

This requirement institutionalizes communication through a formal digital infrastructure. Rather than relying solely on promotional campaigns, the regulation establishes information transparency as a governance obligation. In line with recent scholarship on digital governance, information systems function as key components of modern public administration by enhancing transparency, accountability, and coordination [10].

Within sustainable tourism governance, accessible and structured information contributes to stakeholder alignment and informed decision-making. As Santos et al. [9] argue, communication strategies embedded within policy frameworks strengthen governance effectiveness by supporting policy dissemination and institutional coordination. The tourism information system mandated in the regulation thus represents a structural communication mechanism that supports governance functions beyond marketing.

4.3 Regional Tourism Promotion Board and Promotional Mechanisms

Chapter X of the regulation establishes a Regional Tourism Promotion Board tasked with improving tourism image, increasing tourist visits, and conducting promotional activities and research. The creation of this institutional body demonstrates that communication is formally integrated into the governance framework through designated promotional structures.

From a strategic communication perspective, the establishment of a promotion board reflects the recognition of communication as an organized and structured function within tourism governance [8]. Institutionalizing promotional activities ensures that

communication efforts are coordinated rather than fragmented.

However, the regulatory language emphasizes visitor growth, destination attractiveness, and economic enhancement. This orientation suggests that communication is primarily positioned as a competitiveness and branding mechanism. Such emphasis aligns with conventional destination marketing approaches [7], while recent governance literature encourages a broader sustainability-oriented communication perspective [2].

Although the regulation embeds communication institutionally, its primary narrative focus remains closely tied to economic development objectives. Sustainability considerations are present but not articulated as the central organizing principle of promotional communication.

4.4 Village Tourism and Community Participation

The regulation also addresses village tourism development in Chapter VII, recognizing the role of local communities in managing tourism attractions and promoting community-based tourism initiatives. This provision introduces a participatory dimension within the tourism governance framework.

Stakeholder theory emphasizes the importance of involving diverse actors in governance processes to achieve sustainable outcomes [11]. Recent research further highlights that stakeholder collaboration and value co-creation enhance sustainability performance in tourism systems [12]. The inclusion of village tourism provisions within the regulation indicates an institutional acknowledgment of community participation as part of tourism governance.

Although the regulation does not elaborate extensively on specific communication processes at the community level, the formal recognition of village tourism creates structural space for participatory engagement. This demonstrates that communication within the governance framework is not exclusively top-down but includes mechanisms that potentially support stakeholder involvement.

4.5 Sustainability Framing within the Regulation

The explanatory section of the regulation emphasizes the contribution of tourism to regional welfare and economic growth. Sustainability discourse is incorporated throughout the regulation; however, it is predominantly framed in terms of economic development and regional competitiveness.

Environmental protection and cultural preservation are mentioned in relation to tourism attraction management and business activities. Nevertheless, these aspects are positioned within a broader developmental narrative. This framing reflects a growth-oriented sustainability approach, where economic advancement serves as the primary reference point.

According to Dredge and Jamal [6], sustainable tourism governance requires adaptive and integrative policy approaches that balance economic, environmental, and social objectives. In the case of Tangerang Regency, sustainability dimensions are formally acknowledged within the regulatory text, yet economic considerations appear to receive greater emphasis in the overall policy narrative.

4.6 Synthesis: Strategic Communication as Governance-Supporting Mechanism

Overall, the findings indicate that strategic communication is formally embedded within the tourism governance structure of Tangerang Regency through three primary mechanisms:

- Institutional governance hierarchy
- Tourism information system
- Promotional and participatory provisions

Communication is not treated solely as a marketing activity but is institutionalized through regulatory mandates and designated administrative structures. The establishment of a digital tourism information system and a formal promotion board illustrates structured communication mechanisms within governance architecture.

At the same time, communication practices within the regulation are closely aligned with economic development and destination competitiveness objectives. While environmental sustainability and stakeholder participation are recognized, they are not articulated as the dominant organizing framework of communication strategy.

In line with contemporary sustainable tourism governance scholarship [2], [3], the regulation demonstrates that communication can function as a governance-supporting infrastructure. However, its transformative sustainability orientation remains limited within the regulatory discourse.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study examined how strategic communication is institutionalized within the tourism governance framework of Tangerang Regency through an analysis of Regional Regulation No. 2 of 2024 on Tourism Administration. Situated within a rapidly transforming peri-metropolitan context, the study highlights the importance of governance structures that are capable of integrating communication mechanisms to support sustainable tourism development.

The findings demonstrate that strategic communication is structurally embedded within the regulatory framework through three primary mechanisms: a clearly defined institutional governance hierarchy, the mandatory development of a digital tourism information system, and the establishment of formal promotional and participatory structures, including a Regional Tourism Promotion Board and village-based tourism provisions. These elements

indicate that communication is not treated merely as a promotional activity, but rather as a governance-supporting infrastructure that facilitates coordination, transparency, and stakeholder alignment.

However, the analysis also reveals that the sustainability discourse within the regulation is predominantly framed in terms of economic development and regional competitiveness. While environmental protection and stakeholder participation are formally acknowledged, they are positioned as complementary rather than central components of the policy framework. This suggests that the transformative potential of strategic communication in advancing a more balanced and integrative sustainability agenda remains limited.

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes to the literature on sustainable tourism governance by positioning strategic communication as a policy-embedded coordination mechanism within governance architecture, rather than as a purely instrumental or promotional function. It also demonstrates how local regulatory frameworks in emerging metropolitan regions incorporate communication structures as part of administrative and institutional design.

Nevertheless, this study is limited to document-based analysis and does not examine how the regulation is implemented in practice. Importantly, future research should investigate the potential gap between the formal regulatory framework and its implementation on the ground, particularly in rapidly evolving peri-metropolitan contexts. Examining this implementation gap is essential to understanding the actual effectiveness of communication mechanisms in supporting sustainable tourism governance and achieving long-term sustainability outcomes.

REFERENCES

[1] United Nations World Tourism Organization, Tourism and the Sustainable Development Goals – Journey to 2030. UNWTO, 2018.

[2] B. Bramwell and B. Lane, "Sustainable tourism governance: Critical perspectives on governance and resilience," Journal of Sustainable Tourism, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 817–835, 2020, doi: 10.1080/09669582.2020.1729744.

[3] R. Nunkoo and B. Seetanah, "Governance quality, trust, and sustainable tourism development," Tourism Management, vol. 77, Art. no. 104009, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2019.104009.

[4] R. A. W. Rhodes, Understanding Governance: Policy Networks, Governance, Reflexivity and Accountability. Open University Press, 1997.

[5] C. M. Hall, "A typology of governance and its implications for tourism policy analysis," Journal of Sustainable Tourism, vol. 19, nos. 4–5, pp. 437–457, 2011, doi: 10.1080/09669582.2011.570346.

[6] D. Dredge and T. Jamal, "Tourism governance and policy in times of crisis: Rethinking resilience and institutional adaptability," Tourism Management Perspectives, vol. 41, Art. no. 100945, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.tmp.2021.100945.

[7] S. Anholt, Competitive Identity: The New Brand Management for Nations, Cities and Regions. Palgrave Macmillan, 2007.

[8] K. Hallahan, D. Holtzhausen, B. Van Ruler, D. Verčič, and K. Sriramesh, "Defining strategic communication," International Journal of Strategic Communication, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 3–35, 2007, doi: 10.1080/15531180701285244.

[9] M. Santos, C. Veiga, and P. Águas, "Tourism policy and communication strategies in sustainable destinations," Sustainability, vol. 14, no. 3, Art. no. 1456, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14031456.

[10] H. Zhang, F. Xu, and D. Leung, "Digital governance and tourism sustainability: Emerging frameworks," Tourism Management, vol. 95, Art. no. 104686, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2022.104686.

[11] R. E. Freeman, Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach. Pitman, 1984.

[12] X. Font, R. English, A. Gkritzali, and W. Tian, "Value co-creation in sustainable tourism governance," Annals of Tourism Research, vol. 87, Art. no. 103154, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.annals.2021.103154.

[13] Pemerintah Kabupaten Tangerang, Peraturan Daerah Kabupaten Tangerang Nomor 2 Tahun 2024 tentang Penyelenggaraan Kepariwisataan. Lembaran Daerah Kabupaten Tangerang Tahun 2024 Nomor 2, 2024.