International Conference on Sustainable Tourism and Entrepreneurship

Vol. 01 No. 01 August 2026, ISSN: 3163-2912

Tourism for Well-Being: Reframing Tourism Development through a Social-Market Perspective

Raniri Munawar[1*], Endang Wulandari[2], Fernando Adiputra Kadajaya Bos[3]

[1] *Tourism Department, Universitas Sahid, Indonesia*
[2] *Accounting Department, Universitas Sahid, Indonesia*
[3] *Tourism Department, Universitas Sahid, Indonesia*

[*]*Corresponding author. Email: raniri_munawar@usahid.ac.id*

ABSTRACT

Conventional tourism development has long been dominated by an economic growth paradigm that prioritizes visitor numbers, revenue generation, and regional income, often positioning local communities merely as hosts and service providers. This study revisits tourism development from a social-market perspective by examining tourism not only as an economic activity but also as a social and cultural process that contributes to human well-being. Drawing on a conceptual and policy-oriented analysis of tourism development in Indonesia, this paper employs a qualitative literature-based approach combined with policy review and critical reflection. The findings indicate that tourism development oriented solely toward economic targets tends to marginalize non-economic dimensions of welfare, including social equity, cultural meaning, and citizens' rights to experience tourism. By contrast, an integrative tourism development model that balances providing experiences for visitors and facilitating meaningful tourism experiences for citizens offers a more inclusive pathway toward well-being. The paper argues that tourism development should reposition communities not only as hosts but also as tourists, cultural actors, and rights-bearing citizens. The study contributes to tourism policy discourse by proposing the social-market tourism paradigm as an alternative framework for achieving comprehensive well-being through tourism development.

Keywords: *Tourism Development, Social Welfare, Social-Market Tourism, Well-being.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Tourism has historically evolved alongside human civilization, reflecting social change, patterns of mobility, and the human search for leisure, meaning, and self-actualization. From early recreational journeys in ancient civilizations to the emergence of organized tourism during the industrial revolution, tourism has continuously adapted to broader economic, political, and cultural transformations [1], [2]. In the contemporary era, tourism has become one of the world's largest industries and is frequently promoted as a strategic sector for economic growth, employment creation, and regional development, particularly in developing countries [3].

In Indonesia, tourism development has largely followed this conventional growth-oriented paradigm. National and regional tourism policies have emphasized measurable economic indicators such as foreign exchange earnings, tourist arrivals, length of stay, and tourist expenditure. While these indicators are important for macroeconomic planning, they often obscure deeper normative questions concerning who benefits from tourism development and how tourism contributes to broader social welfare [4]. Local communities are commonly positioned as hosts whose primary role is to provide services and hospitality for visitors, while their rights to access tourism experiences, leisure opportunities, and tourism-derived benefits receive limited policy attention.

This economic-centered orientation reflects a market-driven understanding of tourism that prioritizes providing experiences for tourists, often at the expense of recognizing tourism as a social practice embedded in everyday life. Several scholars argue that such an approach risks reproducing social inequality, as tourism benefits tend to be concentrated among investors and visitors, while local residents experience limited social mobility or cultural empowerment [5], [3]. As a result, tourism development may contribute to economic growth without necessarily improving quality of life or social well-being.

Recent global changes—including democratization, shifts in tourist motivations, increased domestic mobility, and growing awareness of social justice—have challenged this narrow economic focus. Tourism is increasingly understood not only as an industry that delivers commercial experiences (providing experiences), but also as a human activity that fulfills psychological, cultural, and social needs (experiencing) [6]. From this perspective, tourism is closely linked to citizens' rights to leisure, recreation, cultural participation, and social inclusion, which are essential components of human well-being.

This dual nature of tourism raises critical questions about dominant tourism development models that privilege market interests over social welfare. Scholars in critical tourism studies argue that tourism should be reconceptualized as a social institution that contributes to citizenship, identity formation, and social cohesion, rather than merely as an economic sector [7], [1]. Such a reconceptualization is particularly relevant in developing country contexts, where tourism is often promoted as a panacea for development challenges despite persistent issues of inequality and exclusion.

In this context, the social-market tourism paradigm offers an alternative framework for tourism development. This paradigm integrates market mechanisms with social objectives, positioning tourism as both an economic activity and a social good. Rather than separating tourists and hosts into rigid categories, the social-market perspective recognizes citizens as both producers and consumers of tourism experiences. Tourism development, therefore, should facilitate not only visitor satisfaction and economic returns, but also citizens' access to tourism, cultural meaning, and well-being.

This paper revisits tourism development through the lens of welfare and citizenship by examining how tourism can be reframed as a social-market activity in Indonesia. By critically engaging with dominant tourism paradigms, the study seeks to contribute to a more inclusive understanding of tourism development that aligns economic performance with social justice, cultural meaning, and equitable participation.

Based on the above background, this study is guided by the following research questions:

1. How has tourism development in Indonesia been predominantly framed within an economic growth-oriented paradigm, and what are the implications of this orientation for social welfare?
2. How can tourism be reconceptualized as a social-market activity that integrates economic objectives with citizens' rights to leisure, cultural participation, and well-being?
3. In what ways does the social-market tourism paradigm offer an alternative framework for achieving more inclusive and welfare-oriented tourism development?

In line with these research questions, this study aims to critically examine dominant tourism development paradigms in Indonesia and their implications for social welfare. Specifically, the research seeks to analyze tourism not only as an economic sector but also as a social and cultural practice that contributes to human well-being. Furthermore, the study aims to conceptualize tourism development through a social-market perspective that integrates economic performance with social rights, cultural meaning, and equitable participation, thereby offering an alternative framework for welfare-oriented tourism development in developing country contexts.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Tourism Development and Economic-Centered Paradigms

Conventional tourism development is deeply rooted in modernization and industrialization theories that equate development with economic growth, capital accumulation, and market expansion. Within this paradigm, tourism is primarily framed as an engine of economic development, with policy success measured through indicators such as tourist arrivals, foreign exchange earnings, employment generation, and infrastructure expansion [3], [4]. Tourism planning

influenced by this approach prioritizes investment attraction, destination competitiveness, and large-scale infrastructure development, often driven by state–market alliances.

While this economic-centered paradigm has produced measurable macroeconomic gains, a growing body of literature highlights its structural limitations. Empirical studies demonstrate that tourism-led growth frequently generates uneven benefit distribution, environmental degradation, and socio-spatial inequality, particularly in destinations dominated by external investors and mass tourism models [8], [5]. Local communities are often incorporated into tourism economies as low-wage labor providers or service intermediaries, while strategic control over land, capital, and decision-making remains concentrated among political and economic elites.

Critical tourism scholars argue that growth-oriented tourism development tends to instrumentalize local communities as means to achieve macroeconomic objectives rather than recognizing them as rights-bearing subjects of development [7]. This instrumentalization has contributed to land dispossession, cultural commodification, and social conflicts, especially in contexts where tourism expansion intersects with weak governance and unequal power relations. Consequently, economic growth does not necessarily translate into improved social welfare or quality of life for host populations.

2.2 Sustainable Tourism and Welfare-Oriented Approaches

The emergence of sustainable development discourse in the late twentieth century marked a significant shift in tourism studies by challenging purely economic interpretations of development. Sustainable tourism expanded the analytical scope of tourism development to include environmental protection, social equity, and intergenerational responsibility alongside economic objectives [3]. This shift was influenced by broader global concerns regarding ecological limits, climate change, and social justice.

Despite its normative appeal, the implementation of sustainable tourism remains contested. Several scholars note that sustainability is frequently reduced to managerial tools, certification schemes, or destination branding strategies, rather than serving as a transformative development framework [4]. As a result, sustainable tourism initiatives may coexist with structural inequalities and continued exclusion of marginalized groups from meaningful participation.

In response to these limitations, welfare-oriented tourism perspectives emphasize that development should be evaluated based on its contribution to human well-being rather than economic growth alone. Drawing on human development and capability approaches, this perspective conceptualizes tourism as a social process that should expand individuals' freedoms, opportunities, and access to leisure, cultural expression, and social participation [5]. From this viewpoint, tourism development should enhance quality of life, social justice, and cultural fulfillment, recognizing tourism not merely as an industry but as a dimension of everyday life.

Welfare-oriented approaches also underscore the importance of recognizing tourism as both providing experiences for visitors and enabling experiences for citizens. Access to tourism and leisure is increasingly framed as a social right rather than a luxury, particularly in democratic societies where mobility and cultural participation are integral to citizenship [6].

2.3 Social-Market Tourism Paradigm

The social-market tourism paradigm emerges at the intersection of economic and welfare-oriented perspectives by integrating market efficiency with social responsibility. This paradigm conceptualizes tourism as both an economic activity embedded in market relations and a social institution that contributes to citizenship, identity formation, and collective well-being. Rather than positioning economic and social objectives as competing priorities, the social-market approach seeks to reconcile them within a unified development framework.

A central contribution of the social-market tourism paradigm is its challenge to the rigid dichotomy between hosts and tourists. Traditional tourism models often portray local communities exclusively as hosts and service providers, while tourists are framed as consumers of experiences. In contrast, the social-market perspective recognizes that citizens simultaneously occupy multiple roles—as hosts, tourists, cultural actors, and rights-bearing members of society [1]. Tourism development, therefore, should facilitate citizens' access to travel, leisure, and cultural participation, rather than restricting these opportunities to economic elites or international visitors.

By repositioning tourism as a social good alongside its economic function, the social-market paradigm aligns tourism development with broader goals of social inclusion, cultural democracy, and human development. This approach is particularly relevant in developing country contexts, where tourism is often promoted as a development solution despite persistent inequalities. Integrating social-market principles into tourism policy offers a pathway toward more inclusive, equitable, and welfare-oriented tourism development.

3. METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative and conceptual research design. The primary data source consists of a critical analysis of tourism policy documents, academic literature, and conceptual writings on tourism development and welfare. A reflective analytical approach is employed to synthesize theoretical perspectives and policy debates, with a particular focus on Indonesia's tourism development trajectory.

Rather than measuring tourism impacts quantitatively, the study emphasizes interpretive analysis to examine how tourism paradigms shape development priorities, social relations, and welfare outcomes. This approach is suitable for exploring normative questions regarding rights, participation, and the meaning of tourism beyond economic metrics.

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Tourism Development and the Marginalization of Social Welfare

The findings indicate that tourism development in Indonesia has been predominantly shaped by an economistic paradigm that prioritizes quantitative indicators such as visitor numbers, tourism revenue, and regional income [9]. Within this framework, tourism success is often assessed based on its contribution to macroeconomic growth, underpinned by the assumption that economic benefits will automatically "trickle down" to local communities. However, the analysis demonstrates that this assumption is empirically weak and normatively problematic, particularly in tourism-dependent regions [10].

In practice, tourism development guided by growth-oriented indicators frequently results in uneven benefit distribution and the marginalization of social welfare considerations. Many local communities experience limited access to tourism-derived benefits, reduced control over land and cultural resources, and weak bargaining positions within tourism value chains [11]. Rather than functioning as beneficiaries of development, communities are often positioned as service providers whose labor and cultural assets are mobilized to support tourism growth without corresponding improvements in quality of life.

This finding resonates with critical tourism scholarship that questions the adequacy of growth-led tourism development in addressing social inequality and welfare outcomes. When tourism is framed primarily as an economic sector, social dimensions such as equity, access, and well-being tend to be treated as secondary or residual concerns [12]. As a result, tourism may contribute to economic expansion while simultaneously reproducing structural inequalities and social exclusion.

4.2 Repositioning Communities: From Hosts to Citizens

A central finding of this study is the need to fundamentally reposition communities within tourism development frameworks. Conventional tourism models tend to conceptualize local residents as hosts whose primary responsibility is to serve tourists and facilitate visitor satisfaction. This host-centered framing implicitly limits community agency by defining their role in functional and instrumental terms [13].

The analysis suggests that communities should instead be recognized as citizens with social, cultural, and economic rights within tourism systems. From this perspective, tourism development should enable communities not only to host visitors but also to participate actively in decision-making processes, access tourism benefits equitably, and experience tourism themselves [14]. This repositioning challenges the rigid dichotomy between hosts and tourists by acknowledging that local residents are simultaneously producers and consumers of tourism experiences.

Facilitating domestic tourism, leisure access, and cultural mobility emerges as a key dimension of welfare-oriented tourism development. When tourism policies prioritize only inbound or elite tourism markets, they risk excluding large segments of the population from tourism participation [15]. Recognizing tourism as a component of citizenship underscores the importance of inclusive policies that expand access to leisure and cultural experiences as part of broader social welfare objectives.

4.3 Experiencing Tourism as a Dimension of Well-Being

The findings further reveal that tourism contributes to human well-being in ways that extend beyond economic income. Tourism experiences provide psychological relief, cultural enrichment, social interaction, and, in some cases, spiritual reflection. These non-material dimensions of tourism are essential components of quality of life but are often overlooked in economic-centered development frameworks [16].

The right to leisure and tourism experiences supports social integration by enabling individuals and communities to engage with diverse cultural contexts, strengthen social bonds, and enhance personal development. Tourism experiences can foster a sense of belonging, self-worth, and cultural recognition, particularly for communities whose identities have historically been marginalized [17].

Ignoring the experiential dimension of tourism risks reducing tourism to a purely commercial transaction, detached from its human and social meanings. Such reductionism undermines the potential of tourism to contribute to holistic well-being and reinforces a narrow understanding of development that prioritizes material outcomes over human fulfillment [18]. The findings therefore support calls for tourism policies that explicitly recognize experiencing tourism as a legitimate dimension of social welfare.

4.4 Toward an Integrative Tourism Development Model

Building on these findings, the social-market tourism paradigm emerges as an integrative framework capable of reconciling economic and non-economic dimensions of tourism development. Rather than rejecting market mechanisms, this paradigm seeks to embed them within a broader social and ethical context. Market efficiency and competitiveness are retained, but they are balanced with social responsibility, equity, and cultural meaning [19].

The social-market tourism paradigm supports more equitable benefit distribution by recognizing communities as rights-bearing actors rather than passive beneficiaries. It promotes cultural sustainability by valuing tourism as a space for cultural expression and participation, rather than mere commodification. Furthermore, by emphasizing democratic participation and access, the paradigm aligns tourism development with broader goals of human development and social justice [20].

This integrative model offers a conceptual contribution to tourism studies by bridging the gap between growth-oriented and welfare-oriented approaches. In developing country contexts such as Indonesia, where tourism is often promoted as a development solution, adopting a social-market perspective provides a more inclusive and ethically grounded pathway for tourism development. It enables tourism to function not only as an engine of economic growth, but also as a social institution that enhances well-being, citizenship, and collective prosperity.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates that tourism development oriented exclusively toward economic growth is insufficient to achieve comprehensive social welfare. By revisiting tourism development through a social-market perspective, the paper highlights the importance of integrating economic objectives with social rights, cultural meaning, and citizens' access to tourism experiences. Tourism should be understood not only as an industry that provides experiences for visitors but also as a social institution that enables human well-being.

The findings suggest that future tourism policies should move beyond economistic indicators and incorporate welfare-based measures that reflect quality of life, social equity, and cultural participation. Adopting the social-market tourism paradigm offers a strategic pathway for aligning tourism development with the broader goal of human-centered development, ensuring that tourism contributes meaningfully to societal well-being rather than merely economic growth.

REFERENCES

[1] Urry, J., & Larsen, J. (2011). The tourist gaze 3.0. Sage.

[2] Edgell, D. L. (2020). Managing sustainable tourism: A legacy for the future. Routledge.

[3] Sharpley, R. (2018). Tourism, sustainable development and the theoretical divide. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 26(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2017.1380065

[4] Hall, C. M. (2011). Policy learning and policy failure in sustainable tourism governance. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 19(4–5), 649–671.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2011.555555

[5] Scheyvens, R. (2011). Tourism and poverty. Routledge.

[6] Richards, G. (2018). Cultural tourism: A review of recent research and trends. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 36, 12–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2018.03.005

[7] Hall, C. M., & Williams, A. M. (2008). Tourism and innovation. Routledge.

[8] Britton, S. G. (1982). The political economy of tourism in the Third World. Annals of Tourism Research, 9(3), 331–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(82)90018-4

[9] Sharpley, R., & Telfer, D. J. (2015). Tourism and development: Concepts and issues (2nd ed.). Channel View Publications.

[10] Dredge, D., & Jenkins, J. (2011). Tourism planning and policy. Wiley.

[11] Scheyvens, R., & Biddulph, R. (2018). Inclusive tourism development. Tourism Geographies, 20(4), 589–609. https://doi.org/10.1080/14616688.2017.1381985

[12] Hall, C. M. (2014). Tourism and social marketing. Routledge.

[13] Tosun, C. (2006). Expected nature of community participation in tourism development. Tourism Management, 27(3), 493–504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2004.12.004

[14] Nunkoo, R., Smith, S. L. J., & Ramkissoon, H. (2013). Residents' attitudes to tourism. Tourism Management, 36, 86–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2012.11.007

[15] Higgins-Desbiolles, F. (2018). Socialising tourism for social and ecological justice. Tourism Geographies, 20(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/14616688.2017.1359249

[16] Uysal, M., Sirgy, M. J., Woo, E., & Kim, H. (2016). Quality of life and tourism. Journal of Travel Research, 55(1), 20–34. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287514546228

[17] Filep, S., & Deery, M. (2017). Towards a positive tourism. Tourism Management, 61, 144–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.11.001

[18] Ateljevic, I., Pritchard, A., & Morgan, N. (2007). The critical turn in tourism studies. Elsevier.

[19] Polanyi, K. (2001). The great transformation. Beacon Press. (Original work published 1944)

[20] Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Anchor Books.